



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,021	03/30/2004	Kazunari Tanaka	1095.1308	2782
21171	7590	01/17/2007	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			MAHMOOD, REZWANUL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2164	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS	01/17/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/812,021	TANAKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rezwanul Mahmood	2164	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 March 2004.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/21/2005</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-11 are pending in this office action. Claims 1, 9, 10, and 11 are the independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Feldman (US Patent 6,532,469).

4. With respect to claim 1, Feldman discloses a relation chart-creating program for creating a relation chart representative of relations between a plurality of documents (Feldman: Column 1, lines 44-54; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Figure 1; Figure 6), the program causing a computer to:

analyze contents of each of the documents and extract feature elements including time information therefrom (Feldman: Column 1, lines 44-54; Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 1-6 and 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 7, lines 29-34 and

Art Unit: 2164

50-67);

calculate a degree of relevancy between each document pair extracted from the documents, based on the extracted feature elements (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55);

lay out objects indicative of the documents, along a time axis, based on the time information, and generate association lines for connecting between the objects of each document pair, depending on the calculated degree of relevancy (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 9, lines 1-3; Column 10, lines 64-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 1; Figure 6); and

display the relation chart composed of the objects and the association lines (Feldman: Column 4, lines 30-55; Figure 1; Figure 6).

5. With respect to claim 2, Feldman discloses the relation chart-creating program according to claim 1, wherein when the association lines are generated, the association lines between predetermined ones of the document pairs are discarded for thinning-out based on the degree of relevancy of the document pair without citation relationship (Feldman: Column 1, lines 57-61; Column 9, lines 4-34; Figure 6).

6. With respect to claim 3, Feldman discloses the relation chart-creating program according to claim 1, wherein when the association lines are generated, ones of the association lines between ones of the document pairs having the citation relationship are displayed in a form of display different from a form of display in which the others of

Art Unit: 2164

the association lines are displayed (Feldman: Column 2, lines 61-67; Column 3, lines 29-32; Column 4, lines 30-55; Figure 6).

7. With respect to claim 4, Feldman discloses the relation chart-creating program according to claim 1, wherein when the objects indicative of the documents are laid out, at least ones of the objects indicative of the document pairs having relevancy are arranged along the time axis in an order based on the time information (Feldman: Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55; Column 7, lines 29-34; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 10, lines 64-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 6).

8. With respect to claim 5, Feldman discloses the relation chart-creating program according to claim 1, wherein when the objects indicative of the documents are laid out, the objects indicative of the documents are arranged along the time axis in an order based on the time information (Feldman: Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55; Column 7, lines 29-34; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 10, lines 64-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 6).

9. With respect to claim 6, Feldman discloses the relation chart-creating program according to claim 1, wherein when the objects indicative of the documents are laid out, the time axis is represented in basic units each corresponding to a predetermined time period, and the order along the time axis is preserved between objects indicative of the

documents belonging to different ones of the time periods (Feldman: Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55; Column 7, lines 29-34; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 10, lines 64-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 6).

10. With respect to claim 7, Feldman discloses the relation chart-creating program according to claim 1, wherein assuming that patent documents are inputted as the plurality of documents, in extracting the feature elements, dates of application are extracted as the time information (Feldman: Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55; Column 7, lines 29-34; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 10, lines 64-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 6).

11. With respect to claim 8, Feldman discloses the relation chart-creating program according to claim 1, wherein assuming that patent documents are inputted as the plurality of documents, in extracting the feature elements, dates of application and priority dates are extracted as the time information (Feldman: Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55; Column 7, lines 29-34; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 10, lines 64-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 6), and wherein when the objects indicative of the documents are laid out, if a date of application and a priority date have been extracted from a document, the priority date is regarded as the time information of the document (Feldman: Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55; Column 7, lines 29-34; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 10, lines 64-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 6).

12. With respect to claim 9, Feldman discloses a method of creating a relation chart representative of relations between a plurality of documents (Feldman: Column 1, lines 44-54; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Figure 1; Figure 6), comprising the steps of:

analyzing contents of each of the documents and extracting feature elements including time information therefrom (Feldman: Column 1, lines 44-54; Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 1-6 and 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 7, lines 29-34 and 50-67);

calculating a degree of relevancy between each document pair extracted from the documents, based on the extracted feature elements (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55);

laying out objects indicative of the documents, along a time axis, based on the time information, and generating association lines for connecting between the objects of each document pair, depending on the calculated degree of relevancy (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 9, lines 1-3; Column 10, lines 64-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 1; Figure 6); and

displaying the relation chart composed of the objects and the association lines (Feldman: Column 4, lines 30-55; Figure 1; Figure 6).

13. With respect to claim 10, Feldman discloses a relation chart-creating apparatus for creating a relation chart representative of relations between a plurality of documents

Art Unit: 2164

(Feldman: Column 1, lines 44-54; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Figure 1; Figure 6), comprising:

feature element-extracting means for analyzing contents of each of the documents and extracting feature elements including time information (Feldman: Column 1, lines 44-54; Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 1-6 and 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 7, lines 29-34 and 50-67);

relevancy-calculating means for calculating a degree of relevancy between each document pair extracted from the documents, based on the extracted feature elements (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55);

layout means for laying out objects indicative of the documents, along a time axis, based on the time information (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 9, lines 1-3; Column 10, lines 64-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 1; Figure 6);

association line-generating means for generating association lines for connecting between the objects of each document pair, depending on the calculated degree of relevancy; and

display means for displaying the relation chart composed of the objects and the association lines (Feldman: Column 4, lines 30-55; Figure 1; Figure 6).

14. With respect to claim 11, Feldman discloses a computer-readable recording medium that records a relation chart-creating program for creating a relation chart representative of relations between a plurality of documents (Feldman: Column 1, lines

Art Unit: 2164

44-54; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Figure 1; Figure 6), the program causing a computer to:

analyze contents of each of the documents and extract feature elements including time information therefrom (Feldman: Column 1, lines 44-54; Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 1-6 and 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 7, lines 29-34 and 50-67);

calculate a degree of relevancy between each document pair extracted from the documents, based on the extracted feature elements (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55);

lay out objects indicative of the documents, along a time axis, based on the time information, and generate association lines for connecting between the objects of each document pair, depending on the calculated degree of relevancy (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 9, lines 1-3; Column 10, lines 64-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 1; Figure 6); and

display the relation chart composed of the objects and the association lines (Feldman: Column 4, lines 30-55; Figure 1; Figure 6).

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Kumar reference (US Patent 5,982,383) teaches about generating graphics charts. The Lavoie reference (US Publication 2005/0015716) teaches about a document change identifier.

Art Unit: 2164

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rezwanul Mahmood whose telephone number is (571)272-5625. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 10 A.M. - 5 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on (571)272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Rezwanul Mahmood
Examiner
Art Unit 2164

December 16, 2006


MOHAMMAD ALI
PRIMARY EXAMINER